Document 5

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 1 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

District Court in the State of Alabama since Alabama is the more appropriate state where the claims should be heard.

III.

Defendants seek dismissal pursuant to F.R.C.P. 12 (b)(1), (3) and (6) based upon lack of subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

IV.

In the alternative, Defendants seek transfer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404 based upon (1) the convenience of the parties; (2) the convenience of the witnesses; (3) the location of relevant documents and the relative ease of access to those sources of proof; (4) the situs of the operative events in issue; (5) the availability of process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses; (6) the relative means of the parties; (7) the comparative familiarity of each district with the governing law; (8) the weight accorded a plaintiff's choice of forum; and (9) judicial efficiency and the interests of justice.

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this case either be dismissed or be transferred to the District of Alabama for trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York June 17, 2008

LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendants T28 Mott Street – Suite 706

New York, NY 10013

(T)212-608-1818

(F)212-608-0505

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

served in Alabama.

- 1 -

 Plaintiff's First Cause of Action seems to allege underpayment of wages in the amount of \$33,241.40. This claim fails to meet the minimum damages requirement contained in 28 U.S.C. \$1332(a) of \$75,000.00. Plaintiff fails to allege in paragraph 7(b), (c) and (d) how claims for medical expenses, pain and suffering and disability support are violative of federal law. If anything, these potential claims should be filed as workers' compensation claims in Alabama.

Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action is a hodgepodge of claims with no specific statutory or constitutional citation. Plaintiff appears to allege some form of racial discrimination, involuntary servitude, false imprisonment and emotional distress.

Plaintiff fails to establish his residency so the Court must dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

The only New York connection stated in the complaint is the medical treatment alleged to have been received in New York City in paragraph 3 of the complaint. Since it appears to be workers' compensation related, the expenses may be unauthorized by the workers' compensation carrier.

Plaintiff has violated F.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) by failing to state the grounds for federal court jurisdiction.

II - CHANGE OF VENUE

The Court must weigh certain factors in determining the correct venue for this matter, should it not be dismissed. As per 28 U.S.C. §1404, those factors are as follows: (1) the convenience of the parties; (2) the convenience of the witnesses; (3) the location of relevant documents and the relative ease of access to those sources of proof; (4) the situs of the operative events in issue; (5) the availability of process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses; (6) the relative means of the parties; (7) the comparative familiarity of each district with the

governing law; (8) the weight accorded a plaintiff's choice of forum; and (9) judicial efficiency and the interests of justice.

Factor (1): the defendants and restaurant business in question are located or reside in Alabama.

Factor (2): presumably, most, if not all witnesses, are located in Alabama.

Factor (3): presumably, all relevant documents and proofs are in Alabama.

Factor (4): even plaintiff claims the operative events to have occurred in Alabama.

Factor (5): process for unwilling witnesses is more enforceable in Alabama.

Factor (6): the defendants cannot afford to litigate this matter out of state.

Factor (7): plaintiff alleges violation of state law – it must be Alabama law, so the Alabama Federal Courts would be more familiar with same.

Factor (8): the above cited factors would outweigh plaintiff's choice of New York.

Factor (9): judicial efficiency and interests of justice favor change of venue.

CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, it is respectfully requested that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed. In the alternative, it is requested that venue be transferred to a United States District Court in the State of Alabama.

Dated: June 22, 2008

LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ. Attorney for Defendants

EXHIBIT A

JUDGE CASTEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS 1 282 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X
SHUIFA YING,	
Plaintiff,	Index No.
·	FIVEIN
v.	COMPIANT GE
CHINA CHEF INC.,	MAY 0 9 5008
SHIZHONG OU, and LIMING HUANG,	175
Defendants.	U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CASHIERS
	X U.S.CASHIERS

Plaintiff makes the following allegations:

- 1. From December 2003 to January 2008, Plaintiff SHUIFA YING worked for CHINA CHEF INC., a restaurant located at 27955 Highway 98 Ste U, Daphne, AL 36526, which, among other companies, is owned and controlled by Defendants SHIZHONG OU, and LIMING HUANG.
- 2. During all relevant time, Plaintiff worked as a cook under inhumane conditions 12 hours per day for monthly wage of \$1500, which was adjusted to \$2600 after four years of labor.
- 3. The intensity of harsh labor caused Plaintiff suffer spinal pain, diabetes and other medical problems. In March 2007 Plaintiff came to New York City seeking treatment to reduce severe back pain. In March 2008 Plaintiff had spine micro-discectomy surgery in The Mount Sinai Medical Center, located at One Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, New York 10029.
- 4. After the surgery, Plaintiff continues to seek other treatments in New York including acupuncture to alleviate pain. Because of the spinal pain and distortion, Plaintiff is no longer able to walk normally and is permanently disabled in that he is no longer able to provide labor to earn a living as he did before.
- 5. Because Defendants consider Plaintiff no longer useful in providing same high-intensity labor due to his much reduced health, Plaintiff was told not to return to his employment after the surgery.
- 6. To prevent Plaintiff redressing above damages and sufferings, Defendants detained Plaintiff's personal computer, clothes, passport, etc. so that his ability of movement and access to remedy may be confined.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 7. As a result of Defendants' knowing violation of state and federal law with regard to worker's protection and minimum wages, Plaintiff suffered following damages:
 - a. Wages including over-time payment: \$33,241.40 (Calculated based on over-time federal minimum wage for 188 working weeks.)
 - b. Medical expense: \$50,000 (Exact dollar amount upon amendment.)
 - c. Pain and suffering: \$100,000
 - d. Disability support and severance: \$240,000 (Life remainder expected for 30 years at \$1,000 per month.)

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 8. As a result of Defendants' tortious employment arrangement, Plaintiff suffered discrimination based on his race and/or national origin, which amounts to servitude in violation of Constitution.
- 9. As a result of Defendants' bad faith termination of employment, refusal to negotiate compensations for Plaintiff's over-due wages, medical expense, his pain and suffering, disability support and severance, and falsely imprisoning Plaintiff by means of detaining his traveling documents and personal belongings, Plaintiff is further damaged in the form of severe emotional distress intentionally inflicted by Defendants' outrageous acts.
- 10. For above torts, Plaintiff seeks award, including punitive damages, in the amount of \$1,000,000.

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. In the First Cause of Action for the amount of \$423,241.40.
- 2. In the Second Cause of Action for the amount of \$1,000,000.
- 3. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by Jury.

Frank Xu LLI

Frank Xu LLP

305 Broadway Ste 701

New York, NY 10007

Tel: 212/897-5866 Fax: 212/901-0499

Attorney for Plaintiff

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

- 1 -

to provide care, food and shelter to the plaintiff at our home in Alabama in 2006.

- 6. The plaintiff would come to the restaurant and help out, and we would pay him for whatever time he worked.
- 7. During the time that he stayed with us, he discussed with me how he can obtain a legal status to stay in the United States of America. He told me that they only way for him to obtain a legal status would be to make illegal fraudulent documents and lie about the date he entered the US. I strongly disagreed with him and told him that making fraudulent documents and lying to an immigration judge is a serious offense, but he insisted that he should do it and left to New York to attend court regarding his immigration case on March of 2007.
- 8. His claims for outstanding wages and the like are completely false and without foundation.
- 9. During the plaintiff's residency in our home in Alabama, he borrowed \$7,000.00 from me. He also borrowed an additional \$3,000.00 of my money through my mother in China. None of these loans have been repaid.
- 10. Both my wife (Li Ming Huang the co-defendant) and I reside in Alabama, and the business, China Chef, Inc. is also located in Alabama.
 - 11. All of our witnesses and evidence to rebut plaintiff's claims are in Alabama.
 - 12. It would be a hardship for my wife and me to have to litigate this claim in New York.
- 13. My wife and I have read the allegations of the complaint, but they are confusing and difficult to understand.

For the above stated reasons, I respectfully request that complaint be dismissed or the entire matter be transferred to Federal Court in Alabama.

Sworn to and subscribed before me		
this day of June, 2008.	Shi Zhong Ou	

11

16 17

25

15 19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

29 29

31

ANGE MANAGE

30

- The plaintiff would come to the restaurant and help out, and we would pay him for whatever time he worked.
- 7. During the time that he stayed with us, he discussed with me how he can obtain a legal status to stay in the United States of America. He told me that they only way for him to obtain a legal status would be to make illegal fraudulent documents and lie about the date he entered the US. I strongly disagreed with him and told him that making fraudulent documents and lying to an immigration judge is a serious offense, but he insisted that he should do it and left to New York to attend court regarding his immigration case on March of 2007.
- 8. His claims for outstanding wages and the like are completely false and without foundation.
- 9. During the plaintiff's residency in our home in Alabama, he borrowed \$7,000.00 from me. He also borrowed an additional \$3,000.00 of my money through my mother in China. None of these loans have been repaid.
- 10. Both my wife (Li Ming Huang the co-defendant) and I reside in Alabama, and the business, China Chef, Inc. is also located in Alabama.
 - 11. All of our witnesses and evidence to rebut plaintiff's claims are in Alabama.
 - 12. It would be a hardship for my wife and me to have to litigate this claim in New York.
- 13. My wife and I have read the allegations of the complaint, but they are confusing and difficult to understand.

For the above stated reasons, I respectfully request that complaint be dismissed or the emire matter be transferred to Federal Court in Alabama.

Shi Zhong Ou

Swom to and subscribed before me this 19" day of June, 2008.

Notary Public, State of Alabamo z -

My Commission Expires January 17, 2010

Dated: June 22, 2008

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

RENCE H. ODIVE, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendants

LAURENCE H. OLIVE ATTORNEY AT LAW

Larry@OLIVELAW1.com

Admitted in NJ & NY

460 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE MONTCLAIR, NEW JERSEY 07042

Tel: (973) 746 – 6623 Fax: (973) 746 – 6625

Web site: www.Olivelaw1.net

128 Mott Street New York, New York 10013 Tel: (212) 608 – 1818

Fax: (212) 608 - 0505

June 18, 2008 (Revised)

Frank Xu, LLP 305 Broadway New York, NY 10007

Re: Shuifa Ying v. China Chef, etal

Dear Mr. Xu:

This will confirm my conversation with you wherein I confirmed that I will be representing the defendants in the above matter. I have been so retained.

You have advised me that you have requested the entry of a default. I have requested that sign a Consent Order to vacate the default. Please let me know your decision by the end of business tomorrow. Otherwise, I will file a motion.

Thank you.

LHO:ho

Via fax: 212-901-0499

very truly

URENCE H. OLIVE

[Summary of pleading] - 1

Document 5

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 14 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

- 1 -

Document 5-2

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 1 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

District Court in the State of Alabama since Alabama is the more appropriate state where the claims should be heard.

III.

Defendants seek dismissal pursuant to F.R.C.P. 12 (b)(1), (3) and (6) based upon lack of subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

IV.

In the alternative, Defendants seek transfer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404 based upon (1) the convenience of the parties; (2) the convenience of the witnesses; (3) the location of relevant documents and the relative ease of access to those sources of proof; (4) the situs of the operative events in issue; (5) the availability of process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses; (6) the relative means of the parties; (7) the comparative familiarity of each district with the governing law; (8) the weight accorded a plaintiff's choice of forum; and (9) judicial efficiency and the interests of justice.

For these reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this case either be dismissed or be transferred to the District of Alabama for trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: New York, New York June 17, 2008

LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendants T28 Mott Street – Suite 706

New York, NY 10013

(T)212-608-1818

(F)212-608-0505

- 1 -

Document 5-2

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 3 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

1

8 9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

27 28

26

30

29

31 32

Plaintiff's First Cause of Action seems to allege underpayment of wages in the amount of \$33,241.40. This claim fails to meet the minimum damages requirement contained in 28 U.S.C. §1332(a) of \$75,000.00. Plaintiff fails to allege in paragraph 7(b), (c) and (d) how claims for medical expenses, pain and suffering and disability support are violative of federal law. If anything, these potential claims should be filed as workers' compensation claims in Alabama.

Plaintiff's Second Cause of Action is a hodgepodge of claims with no specific statutory or constitutional citation. Plaintiff appears to allege some form of racial discrimination. involuntary servitude, false imprisonment and emotional distress.

Plaintiff fails to establish his residency so the Court must dismiss for lack of jurisdiction, and failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

The only New York connection stated in the complaint is the medical treatment alleged to have been received in New York City in paragraph 3 of the complaint. Since it appears to be workers' compensation related, the expenses may be unauthorized by the workers' compensation carrier.

Plaintiff has violated F.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) by failing to state the grounds for federal court jurisdiction.

II - CHANGE OF VENUE

The Court must weigh certain factors in determining the correct venue for this matter. should it not be dismissed. As per 28 U.S.C. §1404, those factors are as follows: (1) the convenience of the parties; (2) the convenience of the witnesses; (3) the location of relevant documents and the relative ease of access to those sources of proof; (4) the situs of the operative events in issue; (5) the availability of process to compel the attendance of unwilling witnesses: (6) the relative means of the parties; (7) the comparative familiarity of each district with the

governing law; (8) the weight accorded a plaintiff's choice of forum; and (9) judicial efficiency and the interests of justice.

Factor (1): the defendants and restaurant business in question are located or reside in Alabama.

Factor (2): presumably, most, if not all witnesses, are located in Alabama.

Factor (3): presumably, all relevant documents and proofs are in Alabama.

Factor (4): even plaintiff claims the operative events to have occurred in Alabama.

Factor (5): process for unwilling witnesses is more enforceable in Alabama.

Factor (6): the defendants cannot afford to litigate this matter out of state.

Factor (7): plaintiff alleges violation of state law – it must be Alabama law, so the Alabama Federal Courts would be more familiar with same.

Factor (8): the above cited factors would outweigh plaintiff's choice of New York.

Factor (9): judicial efficiency and interests of justice favor change of venue.

CONCLUSION

For the above stated reasons, it is respectfully requested that plaintiff's complaint be dismissed. In the alternative, it is requested that venue be transferred to a United States District Court in the State of Alabama.

Dated: June 22, 2008

LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ. Attorney for Defendants

EXHIBIT A

JUDGE CASTEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURSES 4282

X=====================================				
SHUIFA YING,				
Plaintiff,	Index No.			
v.	COMPAPECEIVE			
CHINA CHEF INC., SHIZHONG OU, and LIMING HUANG,	MAY 0 8 2008			
Defendants.	-X U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y. CASHIERS			

Plaintiff makes the following allegations:

- 1. From December 2003 to January 2008, Plaintiff SHUIFA YING worked for CHINA CHEF INC., a restaurant located at 27955 Highway 98 Ste U, Daphne, AL 36526, which, among other companies, is owned and controlled by Defendants SHIZHONG OU, and LIMING HUANG.
- 2. During all relevant time, Plaintiff worked as a cook under inhumane conditions 12 hours per day for monthly wage of \$1500, which was adjusted to \$2600 after four years of labor.
- 3. The intensity of harsh labor caused Plaintiff suffer spinal pain, diabetes and other medical problems. In March 2007 Plaintiff came to New York City seeking treatment to reduce severe back pain. In March 2008 Plaintiff had spine micro-discectomy surgery in The Mount Sinai Medical Center, located at One Gustave L. Levy Place, New York, New York 10029.
- 4. After the surgery, Plaintiff continues to seek other treatments in New York including acupuncture to alleviate pain. Because of the spinal pain and distortion, Plaintiff is no longer able to walk normally and is permanently disabled in that he is no longer able to provide labor to earn a living as he did before.
- 5. Because Defendants consider Plaintiff no longer useful in providing same high-intensity labor due to his much reduced health, Plaintiff was told not to return to his employment after the surgery.
- 6. To prevent Plaintiff redressing above damages and sufferings, Defendants detained Plaintiff's personal computer, clothes, passport, etc. so that his ability of movement and access to remedy may be confined.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 7. As a result of Defendants' knowing violation of state and federal law with regard to worker's protection and minimum wages, Plaintiff suffered following damages:
 - a. Wages including over-time payment: \$33,241.40 (Calculated based on over-time federal minimum wage for 188 working weeks.)
 - b. Medical expense: \$50,000 (Exact dollar amount upon amendment.)
 - c. Pain and suffering: \$100,000
 - d. Disability support and severance: \$240,000 (Life remainder expected for 30 years at \$1,000 per month.)

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 8. As a result of Defendants' tortious employment arrangement, Plaintiff suffered discrimination based on his race and/or national origin, which amounts to servitude in violation of Constitution.
- 9. As a result of Defendants' bad faith termination of employment, refusal to negotiate compensations for Plaintiff's over-due wages, medical expense, his pain and suffering, disability support and severance, and falsely imprisoning Plaintiff by means of detaining his traveling documents and personal belongings, Plaintiff is further damaged in the form of severe emotional distress intentionally inflicted by Defendants' outrageous acts.
- 10. For above torts, Plaintiff seeks award, including punitive damages, in the amount of \$1,000,000.

Wherefore, Plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- 1. In the First Cause of Action for the amount of \$423,241.40.
- 2. In the Second Cause of Action for the amount of \$1,000,000.
- 3. For such other relief as this Court may deem just and equitable.

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by Jury.

305 Broadway Ste 701

New York, NY 10007

Tel: 212/897-5866 Fax: 212/901-0499

Attorney for Plaintiff

Document 5-2

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 9 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

32

- 6. The plaintiff would come to the restaurant and help out, and we would pay him for whatever time he worked.
- 7. During the time that he stayed with us, he discussed with me how he can obtain a legal status to stay in the United States of America. He told me that they only way for him to obtain a legal status would be to make illegal fraudulent documents and lie about the date he entered the US. I strongly disagreed with him and told him that making fraudulent documents and lying to an immigration judge is a serious offense, but he insisted that he should do it and left to New York to attend court regarding his immigration case on March of 2007.
- 8. His claims for outstanding wages and the like are completely false and without foundation.
- 9. During the plaintiff's residency in our home in Alabama, he borrowed \$7,000.00 from me. He also borrowed an additional \$3,000.00 of my money through my mother in China. None of these loans have been repaid.
- 10. Both my wife (Li Ming Huang the co-defendant) and I reside in Alabama, and the business, China Chef, Inc. is also located in Alabama.
 - 11. All of our witnesses and evidence to rebut plaintiff's claims are in Alabama.
 - 12. It would be a hardship for my wife and me to have to litigate this claim in New York.
- 13. My wife and I have read the allegations of the complaint, but they are confusing and difficult to understand.

For the above stated reasons, I respectfully request that complaint be dismissed or the entire matter be transferred to Federal Court in Alabama.

Sworn to and subscribed before me		
this day of June, 2008.	Shi Zhong Ou	

• 9

11 12

10

13 14 25

16 17 15

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

25 27

29 29

30 31

- The plaintiff would come to the restaurant and help out, and we would pay him for whatever time he worked.
- During the time that he stayed with us, he discussed with me how he can obtain 7. a legal status to stay in the United States of America. He told me that they only way for him to obtain a legal status would be to make illegal fraudulent documents and lie about the date he entered the US. I strongly disagreed with him and told him that making fraudulent documents and lying to an immigration judge is a sarious offense, but he insisted that he should do it and left to New York to attend court regarding his immigration case on March of 2007.
- 8. His claims for outstanding wages and the like are completely false and without foundation_
- 9. During the plaintiff's residency in our home in Alabama, he borrowed \$7,000.00 from me. He also borrowed an additional \$3,000.00 of my money through my mother in China. None of these loans have been repaid.
- 10. Both my wife (Li Ming Huang the co-defendant) and I reside in Alabama, and the business, China Chef, Inc. is also located in Alabama.
 - 11. All of our witnesses and evidence to rebut plaintiff's claims are in Alabama.
 - 12. It would be a hardship for my wife and me to have to litigate this claim in New York.
- 13. My wife and I have read the allegations of the complaint, but they are confusing and difficult to understand

For the above stated reasons, I respectfully request that complaint be dismissed or the entire matter be transferred to Federal Court in Alabama.

Shi Zhong Ou

Swom to and subscribed before me this | 9" day of June, 2008.

> DIANE TARPEY Notary Public, State of Alabama 2 -Alabama State At Large

My Commission Expires January 17, 2010

LANGE ANGE WHITE

Document 5-2

Filed 06/26/2008

Page 12 of 14

Case 1:08-cv-04282-PKC

LAURENCE H. OLIVE ATTORNEY AT LAW

Larry@OLIVELAW1.com

Admitted in NJ & NY

460 BLOOMFIELD AVENUE MONTCLAIR, NEW JERSEY 07042

Tel: (973) 746 – 6623 Fax: (973) 746 – 6625 Web site: www.Olivelaw1.net

128 Mott Street New York, New York 10013 Tel: (212) 608 – 1818

Fax: (212) 608 - 0505

June 18, 2008 (Revised)

Frank Xu, LLP 305 Broadway New York, NY 10007

Re: Shuifa Ying v. China Chef, etal

Dear Mr. Xu:

This will confirm my conversation with you wherein I confirmed that I will be representing the defendants in the above matter. I have been so retained.

You have advised me that you have requested the entry of a default. I have requested that sign a Consent Order to vacate the default. Please let me know your decision by the end of business tomorrow. Otherwise, I will file a motion.

Thank you.

LHO:ho

Via fax: 212-901-0499

Yours very truly,

URENCE H. OLIVE

1	LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ. (LO-5598)
2	128 Mott Street – Suite 706
3	New York, New York 10013 212-608-1818
4	212-608-0505 (fax)
5	Larry@Olivelaw1.com UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
7	
8	SHUIFA YING.,
9	Plaintiff, CASE NO. 08 CIV. 4282 (PKC)
11	-against-
13	CHINA CHEF, INC., SHIZHONG OU, and LIMING HUANG,
14	Defendants. AFFIRMATION OF SERVICE
15	
16 17	I, Laurence H. Olive, Esq., declare under penalty of perjury that I have served a copy of
18	i, Education 11. Onvo, Esq., declare talker penalty of perjury that I have served a copy of
19	the attached Notice of Motion, Affirmation of Counsel, Affidavit of Defendant & Memorandur
20	of Law, upon Frank Xu, LLP, 305 Broadway, Ste 701, New York, NY 10007 by regular mail.
21	Dated: New York, NY
22	June 22, 2008 LAURENCE H. OLIVE, ESQ.
23	Attorney for Defendants
24	128 Mott Street – Suite 706 New York, NY 10013
25 26	(T)212-608-1818 (F)212-608-0505
27	(1)212-000-0303
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
- 1	II

[Summary of pleading] - 1